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## Welcome

## MESSAGE FROM VQA ONTARIO

## Welcome to the Ontario wine and grape industry performance study.

Welcome to the third annual Ontario wine and grape industry performance study. This benchmarking survey is part of the Ontario government's five year $\$ 75$ million renewed Wine and Grape Strategy to support the growth and success of Ontario's wine and grape industry.

The survey and report were developed by VQA Ontario and Deloitte in consultation with representatives of the wine and grape industry and the Government of Ontario.

This report provides an overview of the present state of the industry based on a comprehensive survey of active wineries and grape growers. Information is presented on the financial state of the industry, including the impact on tourism and hospitality.

The Ontario wine and grape industry has made tremendous progress in the last decade, with strong growth and increased consumer demand for authentic local VQA wines. The consumer and business landscape is constantly changing and building reliable and consistent data to assess the state of the industry is important to inform decisions for the future. In particular, this study provides a reference for individual businesses to benchmark performance with their peers, and identify tangible opportunities to improve profitability. Data collected in 2017 continues to show steady growth in wine sales and investment in production capacity, tourism and hospitality. Although the industry continues to face
challenges, the data shows some positive changes to profitability in this period.

Participation rates across the industry remain strong and we thank all participants who made the considerable effort to complete the detailed survey and provide us with feedback for future improvements. Your contributions are essential to the success of this initiative.

VQA Ontario would also like to thank Deloitte for executing the survey and report, and the industry stakeholder groups, Grape Growers of Ontario, the Wine Council of Ontario and the Winery \& Grower Alliance of Ontario for their leadership and support of the project.

VQA Ontario is Ontario's wine authority, responsible for setting standards and regulating Ontario's wines of origin. We are pleased to assist the industry by providing independent oversight of this project.

## VQA Ontario

March 2018


## About the Study

## OVERVIEW

This report provides an overview of the state of the Ontario wine industry based on a comprehensive survey of active wineries and grape growers to support the growth and success of the industry. This is the third year of the annual study.

The Ontario wine and grape industry (as defined by wines produced in Ontario) includes businesses focused on wine production and those focused on grape growing. Most wineries also have grape growing capabilities and are considered vertically integrated wineries. The third year of the study commenced in July 2017 and included an industry survey administered between October and December 2017. The survey was responded to by 163 businesses, representing 102 vertically integrated wineries, 14 wineries that do not operate a grape growing business and 47 independent grape growers. For the purpose of the study, all wineries are reported together ("Wineries") and all independent grape growers are reported on in a separate group ("Grape Growers"). Winery respondents account for an estimated $96 \%$ of all wine made in Ontario and about $18 \%$ of grapes grown. Independent Grape Grower respondents account for an additional $19 \%$ of the grapes grown in Ontario.

## STUDY METHODOLOGY

As with previous years, the Working Group provided guidance and ensured industry engagement throughout the development and execution of the study and included participation from government and industry stakeholder groups. These groups included; Grape Growers of Ontario, VQA Ontario, Wine Council of Ontario, Winery \& Grower Alliance of Ontario, Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs ("OMAFRA") and Ministry of Government and Consumer Services ("MGCS") (the "Working Group").

Deloitte was engaged by VQA Ontario on behalf of the Ontario wine and grape industry to assist with the execution of the study. VQA Ontario and Deloitte developed and administered the study survey to participants and prepared the industry report, working collaboratively with the Working Group and other industry stakeholders. This included developing performance indicators, designing the survey approach, collecting and analyzing survey data and creating a fact based report summarizing respondent data. This year, Deloitte consulted with over 10 wineries and grape growers who had completed the survey in previous years to identify opportunities where the survey can be enhanced and become more useful for the industry participants. Following these consultations, the Working Group decided to action several enhancements and changes to the survey based on the feedback provided.

As with previous years, the foundation of the study was the survey of industry participants on performance indicators as determined by the industry Working Group. The survey comprised a series of questions regarding wine and grape industry performance, relevant to both wineries and grape growers. The survey questions were developed through combined input from the industry Working Group, Deloitte, and a sample of industry participants. All questions were
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determined and agreed upon by the Working Group. The agreed questions collected information about respondent financial, operational and business climate information. Respondents were asked to provide information pertaining to their most recently completed financial year and to answer a series of questions, with different question sets for wineries and grape growers.

The survey responses were treated with the highest confidentiality both in terms of data collection and aggregation. On behalf of the industry, VQA Ontario acts as the independent custodian of the data submitted by individual respondents. The individual respondent data is maintained in a secure environment and is not accessible to other parties in the industry including other members of the Working Group.

Findings from the survey have been aggregated and summarized in this fact based report, which has been segmented into reporting groups based on winery total sales. Grape growers have been included as one segment given their relative revenue proximity to one another. It should be noted that aggregated findings may vary from the previous year due to a change in respondents within each segment.

## HOW TO READ THIS REPORT

The report is divided into four sections.

1) Financial Information presents details on the financial performance of wineries including profitability, financial position, key financial ratios, line of business gross margin and sales and export details;
2) Operational Information provides an operational overview of wineries including inventory, production, employment and investment details;
3) Business Climate presents details on industry topics including tourism, government programs and business challenges; and
4) Grape Growers Performance Summary provides financial, operational and business climate information for grape growers.

As readers review and interpret the findings of this study, the definitions of the key terms and specific metrics presented across financial, operational and business climate should be kept in mind. A full listing of terms and metrics are provided in Appendix: Definitions.

STUDY SEGMENTATION

| Total Sales | Number of Responses |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wineries | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ | 8 | 9 | 9 |
| $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | 6 | 5 | 7 |
| $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | 16 | 18 | 17 |
| $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | 36 | 42 | 47 |
| $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | 40 | 36 | 36 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ |
| Grape Growers | 42 | 53 | 47 |
| \$0M-3M |  |  |  |

## NOTES

1. Consolidated rates were calculated to represent the average performance for each segment.
2. 116 wineries participated in the study, representing a response rate of $52 \%$ based on the number of grape wineries operating in the province. Winery respondents account for an estimated $96 \%$ of all wine made in Ontario and about $18 \%$ of grapes grown.
3. 47 Grape Growers participated in the study, representing approximately $10 \%$ of growers operating in the province. Grape Grower respondents account for $19 \%$ of the grapes grown in Ontario.

> This study relies on information provided through the survey that was self-reported by respondents and was not independently audited and verified for accuracy or completeness. Aggregated findings may vary from the previous year due to a change in respondents within each segment, impacting the reliability of year-over-year comparisons.

## Key Facts

Note: When interpreting results, note that aggregated findings may vary from the previous year due to a change in respondents within each segment.


AVERAGE WINE INVENTORY VOLUMES DECREASED IN 2017


EXPORTS OUTSIDE CANADA WERE HIGHER THAN SALES TO OTHER PROVINCES, WITH ASIA THE TOP RANKED INTERNATIONAL EXPORT MARKET


WINERIES LOOKED TO TOURISM AND HOSPITALITY, PRODUCTION CAPACITY, AND PRODUCTION EFFICIENCY AS THE TOP INVESTMENTS PURPOSES IN 2017

44\% OF WINERIES UNDER \$0.5M AND 58\% OF WINERIES OVER \$0.5M ARE PROFITABLE


THE RISING INPUT/LABOUR/LAND COSTS WERE THE TOP RANKED BUSINESS

CHALLENGES IN THE INDUSTRY


THE NUMBER OF ANNUAL VISITORS AT WINERIES INCREASED IN 2017


GRAPE GROWERS INVESTED THE MOST IN INCREASING PRODUCTION EFFICIENCY (47\%) AND PRODUCTION CAPACITY (27\%)

# Financial Information 

$\qquad$


## Profitability and Financial Position

## INCOME STATEMENT 2017

| Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | \$0.5M-2M | \$2M-5M | \$5M-10M | \$10M+ |
| Net VQA wine sales | 68.8\% | 71.1\% | 77.5\% | 71.1\% | 37.0\% |
| Net non-VQA wine sales | 9.2\% | 3.9\% | 0.5\% | 3.8\% | 58.4\% |
| Grape sales | 1.7\% | 4.0\% | 2.3\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Merchandise sales | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 3.1\% | 0.9\% |
| Hospitality sales | 2.2\% | 3.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% |
| Onsite food and wine service sales | 3.0\% | 7.7\% | 2.9\% | 11.8\% | 2.2\% |
| Other sales | 9.2\% | 3.3\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Grants and programs | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 4.8\% | 3.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Total sales | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Raw materials | -26.0\% | -21.7\% | -21.1\% | -28.4\% | -24.4\% |
| Arm's length labour | -21.1\% | -13.4\% | -11.1\% | -15.2\% | -3.6\% |
| Non-arm's length labour | -6.3\% | -3.7\% | -3.2\% | -0.9\% | -0.1\% |
| Packaging | -7.7\% | -7.2\% | -7.7\% | -4.3\% | -11.6\% |
| Other direct manufacturing expenses | -10.9\% | -11.4\% | -11.6\% | -10.2\% | -10.2\% |
| Total cost of goods sold | -72.0\% | -57.4\% | -54.7\% | -59.0\% | -49.8\% |
| Gross margin | 28.0\% | 42.6\% | 45.3\% | 41.0\% | 50.2\% |
| Sales and marketing expenses | -9.6\% | -10.4\% | -13.7\% | -15.1\% | -22.1\% |
| Contribution after sales and marketing | 18.4\% | 32.3\% | 31.6\% | 26.0\% | 28.1\% |
| Arm's length general and administration expenses | -18.7\% | -20.9\% | -16.6\% | -8.5\% | -8.7\% |
| Non-arm's length general and administration expenses | -5.5\% | -1.8\% | -5.3\% | -7.0\% | -0.4\% |
| EBITDA | -5.8\% | 9.6\% | 9.6\% | 10.5\% | 19.0\% |
| Depreciation and amortization | -7.8\% | -8.0\% | -5.3\% | -3.9\% | -1.7\% |
| EBIT | -13.6\% | 1.6\% | 4.3\% | 6.6\% | 17.4\% |
| Interest expense | -5.6\% | -3.4\% | -2.6\% | -1.1\% | -1.1\% |
| Interest income | 2.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Other non-grape income | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other non-operating income | 1.7\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Foreign exchange gain/(loss) | 0.0\% | -0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Inventory write-downs | -2.5\% | -2.0\% | -0.5\% | 0.0\% | -0.1\% |
| Profit/(loss) before tax | -17.5\% | -3.5\% | 1.7\% | 5.4\% | 16.8\% |

Note: Amounts in the above table represent relative percentages of "Total sales".
Amounts may not sum to subtotals due to rounding. Income statement updated June 2018.

## COST OF GOODS SOLD 2017




Labour expense - arm's length
Labour expense - non-arm's length

## Sales

With the exception of the $\$ 10 M+$ winery segment, sales were largely derived from VQA wine. For the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment, non-VQA wine made up a more significant proportion of total sales at $58.4 \%$ of sales compared to $37.0 \%$ of sales for VQA wine.

## Cost of Goods Sold

Overall trending shows cost of goods sold declining as wineries increased in size. Cost of goods sold were $72.0 \%$ of sales for $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ wineries and were lower at $49.8 \%$ of sales for $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ wineries. Lower cost of goods sold for larger wineries were largely driven by lower labour as a percentage of total sales. Labour expenses were $27.4 \%$ of sales for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment and $3.7 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

Arm's length labour includes employees who are independent from or are not family members of the employer, while non-arm's length labour can include owners or family members. Non-arm's length labour was utilized to a higher degree by smaller wineries than larger wineries.

Non-arm's length labour made up more than $20.0 \%$ of total labour expense to the winery segments with less the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ sales.

Non-arm's length labour attributed to a lower amount of total labour expense in larger wineries, with $5.7 \%$ in the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ segment, and $3.1 \%$ and the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

## Sales and Marketing Expenses

Sales and marketing expenses increased with winery size. Sales and marketing accounted for $9.6 \%$ of sales for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment and were higher at $22.1 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

## Contribution after Sales and Marketing

Contribution after sales and marketing is one measure of operating performance, focusing on sales and direct costs of the operating business, as well as marketing expenditure. Production efficiencies realized in lower cost of goods sold for larger wineries were reinvested in sales and marketing. This led to a decline in contribution after sales and marketing on average as wineries exceeded $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$ in total sales.

## General and Administration

On average, general and administration expenses were above $20.0 \%$ of sales for wineries with less than $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ of total sales and trended downwards to $9.0 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

Arm's length general and administration expense includes non-manufacturing administrative expenses provided by parties that are not family members or are independent of the business owner. Non-arm's length general and administration expenses include owner and family member salaries, management fees and non-core business expenses that have a function not exclusive to the business. Non-arm's length expenses were lowest in the \$10M+ segment at $3.9 \%$ of total general and administration expenses and highest in the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ segment at $45.0 \%$ of total general and administration expenses.

CONTRIBUTION AFTER SALES AND MARKETING 2017


GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSE TOTALS 2017


GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATION EXPENSE SPLITS 2017


## EBITDA

EBITDA is a measure of financial performance, factoring out the impacts of financing decisions, accounting decisions on depreciation and amortization and tax implications. EBITDA was positive on average for wineries with over $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in total sales and generally increased as wineries increased in size.

## Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense generally decreased as a percentage of sales as wineries increased in size. Depreciation and amortization was $7.8 \%$ of sales for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment and $1.7 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

## EBIT

EBIT evaluates financial performance including the impact of depreciation and amortization. EBIT increased with winery size and was positive on average for wineries with over $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in total sales. EBIT was $-13.6 \%$ of sales for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment and $17.4 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

## Profit/Loss Before Taxes

$53.4 \%$ of winery respondents reported a positive pre-tax profit and $46.6 \%$ reported a loss. Larger wineries were generally more likely to be profitable, with $44.4 \%$ of wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment reporting a pre-tax profit compared to $100.0 \%$ in the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

On average, pre-tax profitability increased with size where the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ winery segment had $17.5 \%$ of loss before tax compared to $16.8 \%$ of profit for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ winery segment.

## Key Considerations Impacting Profitability (as a \% of total sales)

Profit of smaller wineries is impacted more significantly by higher labour and general and administration expenses as a percentage of sales. In addition, smaller wineries saw higher depreciation and amortization expense and higher interest costs related to servicing debt. Larger wineries experienced more significant costs associated with selling and marketing products.

## EXPENSES 2017



PERCENTAGE OF WINERIES REPORTING PROFIT VS. LOSS 2017


PERFORMANCE INDICATORS 2017


## BALANCE SHEET 2017

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | \$0.5M-2M | \$2M-5M | \$5M-10M | \$10M+ |
| Cash | 2.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.8\% | 3.9\% | 1.4\% |
| Accounts receivable | 2.7\% | 3.9\% | 5.8\% | 6.2\% | 5.3\% |
| Inventory | 19.7\% | 32.5\% | 30.2\% | 42.9\% | 24.3\% |
| Other current assets | 4.1\% | 3.3\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% |
| Total current assets | 28.5\% | 43.0\% | 38.0\% | 55.0\% | 32.4\% |
| Land and land improvements | 14.4\% | 12.8\% | 9.7\% | 6.4\% | 6.1\% |
| Vineyards | 5.3\% | 5.7\% | 11.3\% | 4.6\% | 4.6\% |
| Buildings and improvements | 24.9\% | 23.7\% | 29.0\% | 20.0\% | 12.5\% |
| Equipment | 8.8\% | 11.4\% | 6.7\% | 7.4\% | 11.5\% |
| Other fixed assets | 2.4\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 1.4\% |
| Total net fixed assets | 55.8\% | 55.6\% | 59.2\% | 40.8\% | 36.1\% |
| Purchased goodwill and other intangible assets | 0.4\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 30.6\% |
| Investments | 15.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 0.2\% |
| Other assets | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.1\% | 3.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Total assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Bank indebtedness | 4.8\% | 10.2\% | 7.9\% | 5.3\% | 9.7\% |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 5.6\% | 6.0\% | 4.4\% | 9.2\% | 4.9\% |
| Other current liabilities | 8.4\% | 16.8\% | 3.2\% | 6.3\% | 1.8\% |
| Total current liabilities | 18.7\% | 33.0\% | 15.5\% | 20.8\% | 16.4\% |
| Long term liabilities | 85.1\% | 70.2\% | 72.9\% | 41.7\% | 38.3\% |
| Total liabilities | 103.8\% | 103.3\% | 88.4\% | 62.5\% | 54.7\% |
| Capital stock | 24.5\% | 17.4\% | 17.7\% | 3.3\% | 26.9\% |
| Retained earnings | -28.3\% | -20.7\% | -6.1\% | 34.2\% | 18.4\% |
| Total equity | -3.8\% | -3.3\% | 11.6\% | 37.5\% | 45.3\% |
| Total liabilities plus equity | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Note: Amounts in the above table represent relative percentages of "Total assets".
Amounts may not sum to subtotals due to rounding.

## Assets

Smaller wineries generally had a larger percentage of their assets in fixed assets compared to larger wineries. Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment held $55.8 \%$ of total assets in fixed assets compared to $36.1 \%$ for wineries in the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

Smaller wineries held a larger percentage of assets in land and vineyards compared to larger wineries. Land and vineyards made up $19.7 \%$ of total assets for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment compared to $10.7 \%$ of total assets for the \$10M+ segment.

Smaller wineries generally held a larger percentage of assets in buildings and equipment compared to larger wineries. Buildings and equipment made up $33.7 \%$ of total assets for the
\$0M-0.5M segment compared to $24.0 \%$ of total assets for the \$10M+ segment.

Smaller wineries held a lower percentage of assets in inventory than larger wineries. Inventory made up $19.7 \%$ of total assets for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment compared to $42.9 \%$ of total assets for the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ segment and $24.3 \%$ of total assets for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

Smaller wineries generally held a lower percentage of assets in purchased goodwill and intangible assets than larger wineries, at $0.4 \%$ of total assets for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment compared to $30.6 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment. The $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment held the largest percentage of assets in investments with $15.2 \%$.

ASSET SUMMARY 2017


LIABILITIES AND EQUITY 2017


- Total current liabilities
- Total long-term liabilities
- Total equity

Note: negative equity and liabilities greater than assets were observed in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment

## INTEREST EXPENSE AND TOTAL LIABILITIES 2017



## Liabilities and Equity

Smaller wineries were funded to a higher degree through debt than equity when compared to larger wineries. Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment held $103.8 \%$ in liabilities and $-3.8 \%$ in equity, due to negative retained earnings, compared to $54.7 \%$ in liabilities and $45.3 \%$ in equity for wineries in the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

Interest expense on the income statement decreased as wineries increased in size, from $5.6 \%$ of sales for $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ wineries to $1.1 \%$ of sales for $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ wineries, corresponding to the debt levels observed in the winery segments. Higher levels of debt at the smaller wineries correlate to a higher cost of borrowing.
Negative retained earnings were observed in the smaller $\$ 0-0.5 \mathrm{M}, \$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-$ 2 M and $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ winery segments.

DEBTORS VS CREDITORS 2017


## NET WORKING CAPITAL 2017



## Assets vs. Liabilities

Creditors, represented by accounts payable and accrued liabilities, were higher than debtors, represented by accounts receivable, across most winery segments except for the $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segments.

As a percentage of total assets, the \$5M-10M segment had the highest percentage of creditor liabilities with $9.2 \%$.

Net working capital is the difference between current assets and current liabilities, representing cash flow requirements for day to day operations of the business. Inventory levels formed a large portion of current assets. Given the seasonality of the industry and thus the variability in the movement of inventory levels, it is worth considering working capital excluding inventory for cash flow management purposes. On average, net working capital with inventory included was positive across all segments and was negative across all segments when inventory was excluded.

For further detail on current assets and liabilities, see Current Assets and Liabilities 2017 on page 17.

## KEY FINANCIAL RATIOS 2017

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | \$0.5M-2M | \$2M-5M | \$5M-10M | \$10M+ |
| Solvency ratios |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current ratio | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| Debt to equity ratio | $(27.6)$ | $(31.7)$ | 7.5 | 1.7 | 1.2 |
| Debt to tangible assets | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| Efficiency ratios |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inventory turnover | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.3 |
| Fixed asset turnover | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 |

## Solvency Ratios

The current ratio is calculated as current assets divided by current liabilities and indicates short term financial liquidity. The $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ winery segment had the strongest current ratio. Inventory was the largest component of current assets across all winery segments.

The debt to equity ratio is calculated as total liabilities divided by total equity and indicates the relative proportion of debt and equity used to finance assets. Note the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ winery segments were negative due to a negative equity balance. On average, all wineries were financed more heavily through debt than equity. The debt to equity ratio decreased as the size of wineries increased, indicating a higher proportion of debt financing at smaller wineries.

The debt to tangible assets ratio was calculated as total liabilities divided by total assets excluding purchased goodwill and other intangible assets. A ratio below 1.0 indicates there are sufficient tangible asset levels to cover debt. All winery segments had ratios at or below 1.0. The \$5M-10M segment had the lowest ratio which could be attributed the limited intangible assets held by wineries in this segment.

## Efficiency Ratios

Inventory turnover is calculated as cost of goods sold divided by inventory and indicates the number of times inventory has been sold in the year. An inventory turnover ratio of less than 1.0 indicates increasing inventory levels. Wineries are expected to have inventory turnover of less than 1.0 during periods of increased production or supply, as some of the wine produced is held in inventory for ageing or sale. All winery segments except for the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ categories had inventory turnover ratios below 1.0 , indicating that they were not selling through all inventory produced in the period.

Fixed asset turnover is calculated as total sales divided by total fixed assets and measures the business' ability to efficiently deploy its fixed assets in generating sales. The fixed asset turnover ratio increased with winery size, indicating that larger wineries were able to use their fixed assets to generate income more efficiently.

## CURRENT ASSETS AND LIABILITIES 2017



## Line of Business Financials

LINE OF BUSINESS SALES 2017


## LINES OF BUSINESS GROSS MARGIN 2017



## Line of Business Sales

Including grants and programs, wine and grape sales comprised at least $82 \%$ of total sales across all winery segments.

Merchandise, hospitality and onsite food and wine service made up a larger percentage of sales for mid-sized wineries in the $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}, \$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ segments, with at least $11.3 \%$ of sales derived from non-wine and grape lines of business.

## Line of Business Gross Margin

Wine and grape gross margin generally trended upwards with winery size, at $33.7 \%$ for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment and $50.0 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment had the lowest gross margin of all segments on the wine and grape line of business and had negative gross margin on the merchandise, hospitality and onsite food and wine service lines of business.

The $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment generates a higher gross margin on Merchandise, Hospitality, and Onsite Food and Wine Service than its core Wine and Grape business line.

## Sales

WINE SALES DETAIL 2017

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | \$0.5M-2M | \$2M-5M | \$5M-10M | \$10M+ |
| Wine Sales by Channel (VQA and Non-VQA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| LCBO | 13.2\% | 17.5\% | 32.6\% | 31.1\% | 40.2\% |
| Winery retail store | 58.4\% | 51.7\% | 34.9\% | 41.3\% | 41.7\% |
| Farmers' market | 3.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |
| Delivered direct to licensees | 19.6\% | 17.1\% | 18.7\% | 20.1\% | 5.6\% |
| Sales to other provinces | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Export | 0.8\% | 7.4\% | 5.8\% | 3.7\% | 6.8\% |
| Other | 2.9\% | 4.0\% | 5.4\% | 1.8\% | 2.6\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Wine Product Type (VQA and Non-VQA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table wine | 92.1\% | 84.1\% | 92.9\% | 85.0\% | 82.2\% |
| Sparkling wine | 2.9\% | 4.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% | 3.9\% |
| Icewine | 0.4\% | 7.5\% | 4.7\% | 10.1\% | 8.3\% |
| Other grape | 1.6\% | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% |
| Other non-grape | 3.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Wine Format (VQA and Non-VQA) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packaged wine | 94.6\% | 92.4\% | 93.9\% | 98.8\% | 99.0\% |
| Bulk wine | 5.3\% | 6.8\% | 5.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
| Bulk juice | 0.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Note: Amounts in the above table represent relative percentages of "Total sales".
Amounts may not sum to subtotals due to rounding.

SALES IN ONTARIO VS. SALES OUTSIDE OF ONTARIO 2017


SALES OUTSIDE OF ONTARIO BY GEOGRAPHY 2017


WINE SALES BY PRODUCT TYPE AND PRODUCT FORMAT 2017
(SHARE OF TOTAL SALES)

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | \$2M-5M | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |
| Product Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table wine | $92 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Sparkling wine | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Icewine | $0 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Other grape and non-grape | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Product Format |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packaged wine | $95 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Bulk wine | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Bulk juice | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Wine Sales by Channel

Reliance on the LCBO as a sales channel increased with winery size, whereas smaller wineries were more dependent on the winery retail store and delivered direct to licensees as primary sales channels. Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment attributed $13.2 \%$ of sales through the LCBO compared to $40.2 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

The majority of wine produced in Ontario was sold in Ontario. Exports reached a maximum of $9.8 \%$ of sales for
the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment, with $3.0 \%$ of sales to other provinces and $6.8 \%$ of sales to other countries.

Wineries in all segments other than $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ exported more to other countries than to other provinces within Canada. The $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment had the greatest proportion of exports within Canada, at $68.1 \%$ of total exports.

## Wine Sales by Product Type

Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment attributed $92.1 \%$ of sales to table wine compared to $82.2 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment. Larger wineries attributed a larger proportion of sales to icewine than smaller wineries on average. Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment attributed $0.4 \%$ of sales to icewine compared to $8.3 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

## Wine Sales by Format

The sale of packaged wines generally increased with winery size. Wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment attributed $94.6 \%$ of sales to packaged wine compared to $99.0 \%$ for the \$10M+ segment.

WINE SALES BY PRODUCT TYPE AND WINE FORMAT 2017
(SALES DOLLARS PER LITRE)

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |  |
| Wine Product Type (VQA and Non-VQA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table wine | 17.08 | 14.83 | 12.59 | 13.41 | 6.05 |  |
| Sparkling wine | 22.07 | 28.75 | 21.12 | 18.74 | 5.83 |  |
| Icewine | 130.26 | 42.88 | 60.04 | 35.63 | 65.11 |  |
| Wine Format (VQA and Non-VQA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packaged wine | 19.34 | 15.85 | 15.69 | 14.56 | 6.65 |  |
| Bulk wine | 3.53 | 5.80 | 3.09 | 3.31 | 2.51 |  |

Note: Figures in the above table represent sales dollars per litre excluding taxes, levies and fees (HST, LCBO mark-up, levies and fees, volume, environmental and basic tax and container deposit).

A large drop in sales dollars per litre was generally observed from the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ segment to the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment. Note that the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment sold a larger proportion of non-VQA wine.

## Exports

| RANKING OF RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF GLOBAL EXPORT MARKETS 2017 | RANKING OF RELATIVE IMPORTANCE of CANADIAN MARKETS OUTSIDE OF ONTARIO 2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$0-0.5M 1. North America - excluding Canada <br> 2. Asia <br> 3. Europe | \$0-0.5M 1. Prairies <br> 2. Quebec <br> 3. British Columbia |
| \$0.5-2M 1. Asia <br> 2. North America (excluding Canada) <br> 3. Europe <br> 4. South America | \$0.5-2M 1. Quebec <br> 2. Atlantic <br> 3. Prairies <br> 4. British Columbia |
| \$2-5M 1. Asia <br> 2. North America (excluding Canada) <br> 3. Europe <br> 4. South America | \$2-5M 1. Quebec <br> 2. Prairies <br> 3. Atlantic <br> 4. British Columbia |
| \$5-10M 1. Asia <br> 2. North America (excluding Canada) <br> 3. Europe | \$5-10M 1. Prairies <br> 2. Quebec <br> 3. Atlantic <br> 4. British Columbia |
| \$10M+ 1. Asia <br> 2. Europe <br> 3. North America (excluding Canada) <br> 4. South America | \$10M+ 1. Prairies <br> 2. Atlantic <br> 3. Quebec <br> 4. British Columbia |

For international exports, all segments except for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment viewed Asia as the most important
global export market.
Within Canada, wineries generally view the Prairies and Quebec as the most important domestic export markets.


## Operational Background

Participation in Grape Growing
The majority of wineries surveyed were vertically integrated wineries that included a grape growing business. All wineries with more than $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ in sales were vertically integrated.

Wineries that do not grow grapes were observed within the segments with smaller wineries; $19.4 \%$ of wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment, $12.8 \%$ of wineries in the $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ segment, and $5.9 \%$ of the $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ segment did not grow grapes.

## Number of Years Operating

The number of years in operation generally increased with size. In the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment, $33.3 \%$ of wineries operated for 5 years or less. In the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment, $88.9 \%$ of wineries have operated for over 20 years.

PARTICIPATION IN GRAPE GROWING 2017


NUMBER OF YEARS WINERY OPERATING 2017


## Inventory and Production

INVENTORY DETAIL 2017 (AVERAGE LITRES PER BUSINESS)

|  | Winery size | (2017 sales) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |
| Table wine - bulk | 14,341 | 100,305 | 216,899 | 338,078 | $4,031,948$ |
| Table wine - packaged | 11,019 | 58,026 | 86,055 | 206,228 | $1,083,121$ |
| Table wine - juice | 835 | 5,524 | - | - | - |
| Total table wine | 26,195 | 163,856 | 302,954 | 544,306 | $\mathbf{5 , 1 1 5 , 0 6 9}$ |
| Icewine - bulk and packaged | 41 | 4,739 | 8,448 | 9,092 | 153,457 |
| Icewine - juice | 10 | - | - | - | 25,399 |
| Total icewine | 51 | 4,739 | $\mathbf{8 , 4 4 8}$ | 9,092 | $\mathbf{1 7 8 , 8 5 6}$ |
| Other grape wine - bulk, packaged and juice | 118 | 1,691 | 78 | 17,012 | $\mathbf{2 3 , 6 2 4}$ |
| Total | 26,364 | $\mathbf{1 7 0 , 2 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 1 , 4 8 0}$ | 570,410 | $\mathbf{5 , 3 1 7 , 5 4 8}$ |

Note: Amounts represent the average number of litres held by winery.

The largest wineries held $83.1 \%$ of the total volume of wine in inventory captured in this survey. Generally, larger wineries held a greater proportion of bulk table wine than smaller wineries.

WINE PRODUCTION DETAIL 2017

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |  |  |  |
| Average litres of wine produced | 18,181 | 80,238 | 201,731 | 389,792 | $8,906,646$ |  |  |  |
| Average maximum production <br> capacity based on cooperage | 26,470 | 134,633 | 269,136 | 538,301 | $8,082,739$ |  |  |  |

Note: Amounts represent the average production in litres by winery.

## WINE PRODUCTION UTILIZATION 2017



Wine Production Detail 2017
Wineries in in all segments except for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment used less than or equal to $75.0 \%$ of their production capacity (calculated as production divided by capacity).

GRAPE PRODUCTION DETAIL FOR WINERIES 2017

| Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |
|  | 9 | 23 | 51 | 78 | 258 |
| Average planted acres - bearing | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 17 |
| Average planted acres - non-bearing | 12 | 56 | 155 | 243 | 879 |
| Average grapes produced (Tonnes) | 1.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Yield per bearing acre (Tonnes/Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Amounts represent the average acres, tonnes and yield by winery segment as indicated.

GRAPE PRODUCTION 2017


Wineries had higher grape acreage and higher yield per bearing acre as winery size increased.

1. Tank capacity
2. Fermentation
3. Crush
4. Bottling
5. Tank capacity
6. Fermentation
7. Crush
8. Bottling
9. Tank capacity
10. Fermentation
11. Crush
12. Bottling

## 1. Tank capacity/Crush/

 Fermentation2. Bottling
3. Tank capacity
4. Fermentation
5. Bottling/Crush

## Tank capacity was ranked as the top constraint for all winery segments.

## Employment

EMPLOYMENT DETAIL 2017, AVERAGE FULL TIME EQUIVALENTS (FTES)

|  | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | \$0.5M-2M | \$2M-5M | \$5M-10M | \$10M+ |
| Average FTEs by Employee Type |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time employees | 2.3 | 6.2 | 10.8 | 26.9 | 152.2 |
| Seasonal FTEs | 1.0 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 15.3 |
| Part-time FTEs | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 10.4 | 99.1 |
| Total | 3.9 | 11.1 | 18.3 | 42.0 | 266.6 |
| Average FTEs by Line of Business |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wine making and grape growing | 2.1 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 11.6 | 49.5 |
| Retail Operations | 1.0 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 13.5 | 146.3 |
| Hospitality, onsite food and wine service and other | 0.8 | 3.4 | 5.7 | 16.9 | 70.7 |
| Total | 3.9 | 11.1 | 18.3 | 42.0 | 266.6 |

Note: Amounts represent the average number of FTEs by business. FTEs were calculated based on a 37.5 hour work week and 52 weeks per year. Amounts may not sum to subtotals due to rounding.

## Employment Detail 2017

The average FTE count for each winery segment reflects the size difference between the segment with the smallest wineries at 3.9 FTEs and the segment of largest wineries, with 266.6 FTEs on average.

As wineries increased in size, a smaller portion of FTEs were devoted to wine making and grape growing. For the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment, winemaking and grape growing accounted for $53.6 \%$ of FTEs compared to $18.6 \%$ for the \$10M+ segment.



Arm's length FTEs include employees who are independent from or are not family members of the employer, while non-arm's length FTEs can include owners or family members. Non-arm's length FTEs were utilized to a higher degree by smaller wineries than larger wineries on average. Nonarm's length FTEs made up $39.4 \%$ of total FTEs for the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment and $0.9 \%$ for the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment.

ARM'S LENGTH VS NON-ARM'S LENGTH FTEs 2017


## Innovation and Investments

Information on current and planned investment decisions was collected from survey respondents to understand investment choices in the Ontario wine and grape industry. Line of business investment represents the business areas where investments were made, investment choices represent the assets or services being purchased and the purpose of investment represents the rationale for investment decisions.

## CURRENT INVESTMENTS

## Line of Business Investment

On average, wineries in the $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ segments allocated the highest proportion of total investments in wine making while larger wineries in the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment allocated the highest proportion of total investments in hospitality, food service and retail.

LINE OF BUSINESS INVESTMENT 2017


## Investment Choices

Businesses across all segments invested the most in new machinery and equipment, as well as facility modifications/upgrades and land acquisitions.

The $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment had the highest investment portion in Research and Development with $3.8 \%$.

## Purpose of Investment

Businesses across all segments invested the most in production/ processing capacity and efficiency, as well as tourism and hospitality.

Smaller investments were observed in brand building, with the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment investing the highest portion of total investment with $10.7 \%$. Environmental management also observed smaller investments, with the $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ segment investing the highest portion of total investment with $6.2 \%$.

INVESTMENT CHOICES 2017


PURPOSE OF INVESTMENT 2017


Respondents were asked to rate the level of investment planned, with "High" representing significant dollar value and "Low" representing minimal dollar value. Responses were consolidated to represent the level of investment planned for each segment.

Planned Investment Choices 2017
Winery size (2017 sales)

|  | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New machinery and equipment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Facility modifications/upgrades and |  |  |  |  |  |
| land acquisitions |  |  |  |  |  |



The planned investment choices over the next five years mirrored the investments made in the current year. Planned investments in new machinery and equipment and facility modifications / upgrades and land acquisitions were high, while planned investments in research and development and other areas were generally low across all size segments.

| Planned Investment Purpose 2017 | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$0M-0.5M | \$0.5M-2M | \$2M-5M | \$5M-10M | \$10M+ |
| Production/processing capacity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production/processing efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brand |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tourism and Hospitality |  |  |  |  |  |

Over the next five years, wineries were planning to invest heavily for production/processing capacity and efficiency. This generally mirrored current investment levels.

Low levels of investment were generally planned for environmental management, in line with current levels of investment.

All segments except for the $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ planned for high investment in brand building in the coming five years.

## Business Climate

## Tourism

| TOURISM ACTIVITY $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Winery size (2017 sales) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 0.5 \mathrm{M}-2 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}-10 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ |
| Average annual number of transactions <br> at register (onsite) | 3,916 | 14,615 | 22,659 | 47,479 | 56,692 |
| Average estimated number of <br> annual visits | 8,302 | 34,236 | 51,923 | 158,000 | 186,053 |
| Conversion rate (transactions at register/ <br> annual visits) | $47.2 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ |

Note: Amounts in the above table represent average tourism activity by winery.

TOURISM ACTIVITY 2017


SERVICES OFFERED 2017


## Tourism Activity

The average number of transactions at the onsite register and the average estimated number of annual visits increased as wineries increased in size, although the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment had the highest conversion rate, or highest number of transactions relative to visits.

## Tourism Services

The majority of wineries provided wine tours / tastings, with $100 \%$ of wineries providing these services in segments with more than $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}$ in sales.

The majority of wineries did not have a restaurant, although restaurants were more prevalent in wineries with over $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ in total sales.

Generally, a higher percentage of businesses hosted special events (such as concerts, weddings and corporate retreats) as winery size increased. In the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment, $78.0 \%$ hosted special events compared to $31.0 \%$ of wineries in the $\$ 0 \mathrm{M}-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ segment.

## IMPORTANCE AND IMPACT OF TOURISM 2017



PROGRAM PARTICIPATION 2017


Importance and Impact of Tourism Tourism was consistently viewed as very important across all wineries and was viewed by the majority of wineries as very important to the overall business, including; sales and staffing.

## Government Programs

Exactly half of the wineries in the \$0M-0.5M segment participated in the VQA Wine Support Program, while participation rates above $79 \%$ were observed in all other winery segments. The $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment had a $100 \%$ participation rate in this program.

Participation in the MVIP/OVIP program was greatest in the $\$ 2 \mathrm{M}-$ 5 M segment with $18 \%$, and $33 \%$ of the $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}+$ segment participated in Growing Forward 2.

Program participation as a percentage of the total segment size is lowest in the \$0M-\$0.5M segment, where only $56 \%$ of wineries participated in a program.

## CHALLENGES FACING THE ONTARIO INDUSTRY 2017

Respondents were asked to rank up to 5 challenges impacting their business from a list of 15 challenges developed by the Working Group. Based on rank and frequency of responses received, the top 5 challenges for each segment were identified.

TOP CHALLENGES BY SEGMENT


Rising input/labour/land costs, Government regulation and cost of compliance, as well as gross margins on sales through the LCBO were the most frequently selected business challenges across all segments.

## Grape Growers Performance Summary



## Financial Information

Forty seven independent Grape Growers that do not operate a winery participated in the study and account for an estimated $19 \%$ of the grapes grown in Ontario. The performance of this year's group reflects a smaller proportion of the total population and tonnage from last year. It should not be considered representative of the Ontario grape industry as a whole. Aggregated findings may vary from the previous year due to a change in respondents, impacting the reliability of year-over-year comparisons. Grape Growers responding to the survey achieved sales ranging from \$0M-\$3.0M.

## PROFITABILITY AND FINANCIAL POSITION

Income Statement 2017

|  | \% of total sales |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grape sales (including bulk wine juice) | 95.4\% |
| Grants and programs | 4.6\% |
| Total sales | 100.0\% |
| Raw materials | -10.2\% |
| Arm's length labour | -29.4\% |
| Non-arm's length labour | -10.5\% |
| Packaging | -0.4\% |
| Other direct operating expenses | -18.1\% |
| Total cost of goods sold | -68.6\% |
| Gross margin | 31.4\% |
| Sales and marketing expenses | -0.9\% |
| Contribution after sales and marketing | 30.5\% |
| Arm's length general and administration expenses | -12.2\% |
| Non-arm's length general and administration expenses | -4.0\% |
| EBITDA | 14.3\% |
| Depreciation and amortization | -18.5\% |
| EBIT | -4.2\% |
| Interest expense | -2.4\% |
| Interest income | 0.2\% |
| Other non-grape income | 21.4\% |
| Other non-operating income | 5.5\% |
| Profit/(loss) before tax | 20.6\% |

Note: Amounts in the above table represent relative percentages of "Total sales".
Amounts may not sum to subtotals due to rounding.
Income statement updated June 2018.

On average, grape growers had cost of goods sold of $68.6 \%$ of total sales, largely due to labour expenses, which comprised $39.9 \%$ of total sales.

Arm's length labour includes employees who are independent from or are not family members of the employer, while non-arm's length labour can include owners or family members. Arm's length labour expenses were $29.4 \%$ of total sales compared to non-arm's length labour expenses of $10.5 \%$ of total sales.

Growers had sales and marketing expenses totalling $0.9 \%$ of sales.

Contribution after sales and marketing is one measure of operating performance, focusing on sales and direct costs of the operating business, as well as marketing expenditure and on average was $30.5 \%$ of total sales for growers.

Arm's length general and administration expense includes non-operating administrative expenses provided by parties that are not family members or are independent of the business owner, while non-arm's length general and administration expenses include owner and family member salaries, management fees and non-core business expenses that have a
function not exclusive to the business. Arm's length general and administration expenses were $12.2 \%$ of total sales compared to non-arm's length general and administration expenses of $4.0 \%$ of total sales.

Growers surveyed achieved profit of $20.6 \%$ before tax on average, primarily by diversifying the business into non-grape producing areas.

BALANCE SHEET 2017

|  | \% of total assets |
| :--- | ---: |
| Cash | $5.5 \%$ |
| Accounts receivable | $1.9 \%$ |
| Inventory | $0.6 \%$ |
| Other current assets | $3.2 \%$ |
| Total current assets | $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 \%}$ |
| Land and land improvements | $30.7 \%$ |
| Vineyards | $22.2 \%$ |
| Buildings and improvements | $10.1 \%$ |
| Equipment | $8.0 \%$ |
| Other fixed assets | $1.9 \%$ |
| Total net fixed assets | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| Purchased goodwill and other intangible | $1.2 \%$ |
| assets | $\mathbf{6 . 9 \%}$ |
| Investments | $\mathbf{7 . 8 \%}$ |
| Other assets | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Total assets | $1.6 \%$ |
| Bank indebtedness | $2.3 \%$ |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | $5.7 \%$ |
| Other current liabilities | $\mathbf{9 . 7} \%$ |
| Total current liabilities | $42.0 \%$ |
| Long term liabilities | $\mathbf{5 1 . 7 \%}$ |
| Total liabilities | $\mathbf{2 . 6 \%}$ |
| Capital stock | $\mathbf{4 5 . 7 \%}$ |
| Retained earnings | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |
| Total equity |  |
| Total liabilities plus equity |  |

Note: Amounts in the above table represent relative percentages of "Total assets". Amounts may not sum to subtotals due to rounding.

Growers held net fixed assets at $72.9 \%$ of total assets. Land and land improvements made up the largest portion of net fixed assets at $30.7 \%$ of total assets, followed by vineyards at $22.2 \%$ of total assets.

Total current assets were low due in large part to low inventory levels of $0.6 \%$ of total assets.

## Solvency Ratios

The current ratio is calculated as current assets divided by current liabilities and indicates short term financial liquidity. The current ratio was above 1.0 , indicating that current assets do cover current liabilities.

The debt to equity ratio is calculated as total liabilities divided by total equity and indicates the relative proportion of debt and equity used to finance assets. Growers were financed more heavily with debt than equity, indicated by a ratio above 1.0.

The debt to tangible assets ratio was calculated as total liabilities divided by total assets excluding purchased goodwill and other intangible assets. A ratio below 1.0 indicates there are sufficient tangible asset levels to cover debt.

## Efficiency Ratios

Inventory turnover is calculated as cost of goods sold divided by inventory and indicates the number of times inventory has been sold in the year. Inventory turnover was 36.9 since growers maintained minimal inventory levels on their balance sheets due to the perishable nature of the product.

Fixed asset turnover is calculated as total sales divided by total fixed assets and measures the business' ability to efficiently deploy its fixed assets in generating sales. The fixed asset turnover ratio was 0.5 for growers.
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KEY FINANCIAL RATIOS 2017

| Solvency ratios |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current ratio | 1.1 |
| Debt to equity ratio | 1.1 |
| Debt to tangible assets | 0.5 |
| Efficiency ratios |  |
| lnventory turnover | 36.9 |
| Fixed asset turnover | 0.5 |

## Operational Information

## OPERATIONAL BACKGROUND



## EMPLOYMENT

EMPLOYMENT TYPE FTEs 2017


## Employment Detail 2017, Average FTEs

| Employment Type | Average FTEs |
| :--- | ---: |
| Full-time employees | 1.6 |
| Seasonal FTEs | 2.5 |
| Part-time FTEs | 0.2 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ |

## Years of Operation

Grape growers averaged 23.1 years of operation, with $44.7 \%$ of businesses in operation for more than 20 years and $34.0 \%$ in operation for 11 to 20 years.

Growers produced 5.3 tonnes of grapes per bearing acre on average.

| Production | Metric |
| :--- | ---: |
| Average planted acres - bearing | 66 |
| Average planted acres - non-bearing | 6 |
| Average grapes produced (Tonnes) | 346 |
| Yield per bearing acre (Tonnes/Acre) | 5.3 |

Note: Amounts represent the average acres, tonnes and yield

ARM'S LENGTH VS NON-ARM'S LENGTH FTEs 2017


Growers relied heavily on seasonal employees, with seasonal FTEs making up $58.5 \%$ of total FTEs. Arm's length FTEs include employees who are independent from or are not family members of the employer, while non-arm's length FTEs can include owners or family members Non-arm's length FTEs were $35.2 \%$ of total FTEs for growers.

## INNOVATION AND INVESTMENTS

## CURRENT INVESTMENTS

Information on current and planned investment decisions was collected from survey respondents to understand investment choices in the Ontario wine and grape industry. Line of business investment represents the business areas where investments were made, investment choices represent the assets or services being purchased and the purpose of investment represents the rationale for investment decisions.

## INVESTMENT CHOICES 2017



- New machinery and equipment

Facility modifications/upgrades and land acquisitions
Other
Research and development

## Investment Choices

Growers invested heavily in new machinery and equipment at $46.9 \%$ of total investments, and facility modification/upgrades and land acquisitions at $40.9 \%$ of total investments. Investments in research and development and other areas were lower at a combined $12.2 \%$ of total investments.

## INVESTMENT PURPOSE 2017



- Production/processing efficiency
- Production/processing capacity

Environmental management, brand, tourism and hospitality

- Other


## Purpose of Investment

The largest proportion of investments were made with the purpose of improving production/processing efficiency, at $47.0 \%$ of total investments, followed by production/processing capacity at $27.3 \%$ and environmental management, brand and tourism and hospitality at $12.9 \%$. A combined $12.7 \%$ were made into other investments.

## PLANNED INVESTMENTS IN THE COMING FIVE YEARS

| Planned Investment Choice 2017 | High |
| :--- | :--- |
| New machinery and equipment | Growers plan to invest heavily into <br> new machinery and equipment, <br> moderately into facilities and land <br> over the next five years, with minimal <br> planned investment into research and <br> development. |
| Facility modifications/upgrades <br> and land acquisitions |  |

Planned Investment Purpose 2017
Production/processing capacity

## Business Climate

## Government Programs

Growers participated the most in the MVIP/OVIP program and other programs with $12.8 \%$ participation, followed by Growing Forward 2 at 8.5\% participation.

## PROGRAM PARTICIPATION 2017



* MVIP: Marketing and Vineyard Improvement Program OVIP: Ontario Vineyard Improvement Program


## CHALLENGES FACING THE ONTARIO INDUSTRY 2017

Respondents were asked to rank up to 5 challenges impacting their business from a list of 15 challenges developed by the Working Group. Based on rank and frequency of responses received, the top 5 challenges for grape growers were identified.


ACCESS TO VIRUS-FREE GRAPE VINES

1


RISING INPUT/LABOUR/ LAND COSTS

4


GOVERNMENT REGULATION AND COST OF COMPLIANCE


GRAPE PRICING

5


PROPERTY TAXATION/ ZONING/MUNICIPAL INFRASTRUCTURE

## Appendix Definitions

| Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Accounts payable and <br> accrued liabilities | Amounts due to vendors or other parties. |
| Accounts receivable | Amounts due from customers or other parties less estimated bad debt. |
| Advertising and promotions | Advertising and promotional expenses not paid to LCBO (e.g. online/digital/ <br> print media, sponsorships, graphic design, in-store promotions/display/media, <br> sampling and events, etc.). |
| Arm's length expenses | Expenses, including labour and general and administration, related to goods <br> and services provided by parties independent from the winery or grower <br> completing the survey (e.g. not owner or family member labour). |
| Bank indebtedness | Bank overdrafts and loans due within 12 months of the financial year end. |
| Buildings and improvements | The initial cost of building, such as storage and production facility, and any <br> capitalized improvements less accumulated depreciation. |
| Caphal stock | The value of the corporation's issued common stock and preferred stock <br> (if any). |
| Contribution after sales and marketing | Money in the bank or money on hand. |
| Calculated as gross margin less sales and marketing expenses. |  |


| General and administration expenses | Non-manufacturing administrative expenses such as salaries/wages, insurance, <br> office and supplies, business use of home expenses, information technology, <br> etc. Includes membership fees paid to organizations such as VQAO, GGO, etc. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grants and programs | Funds received through government grants and programs. |
| Grape sales | Sales of wine grapes and bulk juice to third parties. |
| Gross margin | The sales retained after direct costs, calculated as sales less cost of <br> goods sold. |
| Hospitality sales | Includes revenue from tours, tasting fees, events, room bookings and <br> overnight accommodations. |
| Interest expense | Any expenses incurred as a result of borrowing money. |
| Interest income | Interest earned on bank balances or investments. |
| Value of inventory on balance sheet, including in-process inventory, |  |
| attributable labour and overhead costs, as well as other inventory (e.g. |  |
| wine making supplies, non-wine retail merchandise, food service/hospitality |  |
| inventory, etc.). |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Other direct manufacturing expenses/ } \\ \text { other direct operating expenses }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { maintenance of processing/production buildings and farms and equipment, } \\ \text { stock movement and other direct costs such as bird control, scouting, soil } \\ \text { testing, custom work, etc. Also includes transportation and warehousing } \\ \text { and utilities. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Other fixed assets } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Other fixed assets not included in land and land improvements, buildings and } \\ \text { improvements and equipment, including any portion of a personal residence } \\ \text { used for business, less accumulated depreciation. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Other LCBO marketing programs } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Includes LCBO expenses not included in retail programs and other allowances. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Other non-operating income } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Includes gain or (loss) on sale of property, vineyards and equipment, } \\ \text { revaluations, etc. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Other sales } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Any revenue earned that is not included in net wine sales, grape sales, } \\ \text { merchandise sales, hospitality sales and onsite food and wine service sales } \\ \text { (e.g. rent income, deposit fees, etc.). }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Other sales and marketing expense } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Other sales and marketing expenses not related to advertising and promotion } \\ \text { (e.g. salaries, commissions, etc.). }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Part time employees (PTE) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Employed persons who usually worked less than 37.5 hours per week, at their } \\ \text { main or only job. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Profit/(loss) before tax } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Calculated as EBIT less interest expense and inventory write-downs, plus } \\ \text { interest income, other non-operating income and foreign exchange } \\ \text { gain/(loss). }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Purchased goodwill and other } \\ \text { intangible assets }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Value of intangible assets (brands, customer contracts, customer relationships) } \\ \text { and goodwill arising from acquisition of a separate business. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Raw materials } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Input costs such as grapes and wine making supplies for wineries and } \\ \text { fertilizers and soil supplements, pesticides and chemical treatments, fuel and } \\ \text { other vineyard supplies for growers. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Total net fixed assets } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Payments for pricing/promotion activities for wine that are executed with a retail } \\ \text { partner including on-site (e.g. price discounts and LTOs, etc.). }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Retail programs and other allowances } \\ \hline \text { Includes total liabilities and total equity and must equal total assets. } \\ \hline \text { Includes land and land improvements, vineyards, buildings and improvements, } \\ \text { equipment and other fixed assets. }\end{array}\right\}$

ONTARIO WINE AND

## GRAPE INDUSTRY

PERFORMANCE STUDY 2017 WORKING GROUP

The Ontario Wine and Grape Industry Performance Study 2017 was produced under the guidance of an industry Working Group comprised of participants from the Ontario wine and grape industry and included the following groups:

## VQA Ontario

www.vqaontario.ca

## Winery \& Grower

Alliance of Ontario
www.wgao.ca

## Wine Council of Ontario

www.winecouncilofontario.ca

## Grape Growers of Ontario

www.grapegrowersofontario.com
Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs
www.omafra.gov.on.ca
Ministry of Government and Consumer Services
www.ontario.ca/ministry-government-and-consumer-services

## CONTACTS

VQA Ontario
Laurie Macdonald
Executive Director
+1 416-367-2002
laurie.macdonald@vqaontario.ca

## Katherina Radcliffe

Manager of Communications
+1 416-367-2002
katherina.radcliffe@vqaontario.ca

## Deloitte

## Adam Brown

Partner, Performance
Enhancement Advisory
+1 416-643-8964
adbrown@deloitte.ca

## Andrew Bond

Senior Manager, Performance
Enhancement Advisory
+1 416-874-3329
anbond@deloitte.ca

## Kyle Dempsey

Manager, Performance
Enhancement Advisory
+1 416-576-3381
kydempsey@deloitte.ca
Pam Lilley
Partner, Audit
+1 905-323-6010
plilley@deloitte.ca

## Liz Isaak

Senior Manager, Audit
+1 905-323-6022
lisaak@deloitte.ca

Photograph on page 37 provided by Grape Growers Ontario
All other photography provided by the Wine Marketing Association of Ontario


About VQA Ontario
In its capacity as Ontario's wine authority, VQA Ontario exercises delegated authority to administer and enforce the VQA Act and its associated regulations. It is accountable to the Minister of Government and Consumer Services and operates under a framework set out in an administrative agreement with the Ministry. Along with its statutory duties, VQA Ontario engages in related activities such as promoting awareness of the VQA appellation system, participating in national and international standards discussions and encouraging public education about VQA appellations and wines.

About Deloitte
Deloitte provides audit, tax, consulting, and financial advisory services to public and private clients spanning multiple industries. With a globally connected network of member firms in more than 150 countries, Deloitte brings world-class capabilities and high-quality service to clients, delivering the insights they need to address their most complex business challenges. Deloitte has in the region of 263,900 professionals, all committed to becoming the standard of excellence.

Deloitte Canada is well-recognized as a leader in professional services with over 9,000 professionals in 58 offices. The organization is dedicated to helping its clients and people excel. Deloitte LLP, an Ontario Limited Liability Partnership, is the Canadian member firm of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.

Deloitte refers to one or more of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited, a UK private company limited by guarantee, and its network of member firms, each of which is a legally separate and independent entity. Please see www.deloitte.com/about for a detailed description of the legal structure of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited and its member firms.

